

Chapter 1 - BEST SEASONS EVER, POSITION PLAYERS

From Stat Geek Baseball and baseballevaluation.com

| PEVA Player Rating Boxscore | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 64.000 | Maximum | Maximum Player Rating |
| 32.000 | Fantastic | MVP/CY Young Candidate |
| 20.000 | Great | All-League |
| 15.000 | Very Good | All-Star Caliber |
| 10.000 | Good | Plus Starter |
| 3.500 | Average | Bench Player |
| 0.200 | Minimum | Minimum Player Rating |

Baseball fans have debated the best ever players over the century and one-half of the major leagues. Who were the best players ever, and what player had the best season ever? It made for interesting water cooler or bottled water debate and continues to interest baseball fans from the casual watcher to sabermetrics gurus everywhere. And there were always factors that made it so very hard to measure. How do you account for the dead ball era when almost nobody hit a home run? What about the days when the ball got livelier? Were the players better then just because their stats began to climb? And boy, how about those yesteryear heros who played a schedule that did not approach that 154 game schedule or the 162 game schedule, but sat at about half of that number? Then there's the steroid era, perhaps the hardest of them all. How do you figure that out, not only not knowing how it affected the game overall, but not knowing who or how many players were involved? And now that we're past that time (at least we hope we are) how do the players of the last couple years compare?

Well, all those questions are the ones we tried to find answers to, albeit likely imperfect ones. But after 5,000 hours of trying to figure out the correlations in all those many stats, baseballevaluation.com has come up with a system that allows for some of those answers to come into view. At least in our statistical opinion. So sit back and enjoy this debate one more time. I'm sure it will spur just as many questions as it will answer them. Hope you enjoy the ride. It will be one that deals with players and how dominant they were in the time period they played in, because in the end, that's how you truly measure greatness, isn't it?

So who will be judged as having the best season ever and just why did it come out that way? Will it be someone you thought would be way up the list or a surprise? Well, just a little hint in the best season ever front before we get any further down the line. There's not a whole lot of surprises on the batting front, with the top ten being dominated by very few players. But oh, just wait for Chapter 2 and the Best Seasons Ever by a Pitcher list, nine different players show up on that list. So without further consternation, we will unveil the player who had the best season ever for a position player, and later in the chapter we'll chronicle a bit about the players from the 2010 season who cracked the Top 200 of this list.

1. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1923

No surprise whatsoever that the Bambino topped the list, although some will be surprised that his 1927 season did not come in at Number One. But do not fret too much, there will be more than one additional season by the great Babe Ruth in the top ten seasons of all-time. And more than two or three as well. Sometimes it's hard for fans of the game today to realize just how dominant Babe Ruth was as a player in the era from 1920-1930. But before we get into the stat details, just think about the cultural context. Even today, you can play in one of his "Babe Ruth" leagues; over 1 million kids do each year. You can go into a supermarket and buy a Baby Ruth bar. Yes, we know there's controversy about the name. Even though there's now Yankees Stadium II, most acknowledge that it is still the house that Ruth built. 1923 was the first year the Yankees played in the first house that Babe constructed. Ruth was so dominant in his time, well, he hit more home runs in some seasons than entire teams did. But let's get to the number one season itself, 1923.

Babe Ruth put so much fear into the opposition that he walked 170 times in 1923, 69 times more than the hitter in second place. While this was not one of his most prodigious home run seasons, he still tied for the Major League lead at 41. But where you really see the domination is in the area of Slugging and On Base

Percentage. And even though the folks from 1923 likely thought of OPS in other terms, there's no doubt to its import. Just think what an OPS of 1.309 would look like in any park in 2010. Just awesome! And BTW, he stole 17 bases in 1923, 9th place in the AL. Of course, he was thrown out 21 times to get there. He wasn't perfect. Not only in that stat, but in the PEVA Rating system. There has never been a player in the history of baseball who was perfect, 64.000, in all system stat categories for a full regular season, but oh, boy, he sure was close. And it's not like he suffered from having nobody else play in this era when the likes of Gehrig was plying his trade at first base for Ruth's own Yankees and there was some guy named Hornsby in the other league.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | Babe Ruth 1923 | | 58.931 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 151 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 131 | 1 | RPR | 525.4% | 53.67 |
| OBP | 0.545 | 1 | | 157.1% | 0.347 |
| SLG | 0.764 | 1 | | 195.4% | 0.391 |
| Field Value | 1.57 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

2. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1920

Many people think, and it's perfectly understandable, that Ruth's 1920 season was his best, and from an offensive standpoint, that may be so. It was the year that proved just how dominant Ruth was, and what was to come over the next decade. It was the first season of Babe Ruth as a Yankee after that trade from the Red Sox that catapulted the dislike between the two teams and fans to the level we see today. And it was the first year that Babe Ruth was no longer really a pitcher, logging only 4 innings in 1920 after hurling more than 100 each season from 1915 to 1919. So here goes the dominance. Babe Ruth hit 54 Home Runs in 1920; second place was 19. Babe Ruth slugged at a rate of 0.847, the average SLG% in MLB in 1920 was 0.372. So then why does the baseball evaluation PEVA system rank him slightly lower than in 1923. Well, for one thing, Ruth was less durable in 1920 than in 1923, so when he was not on the field, either for reason of rest or injury, Ruth could reek less havoc on opposing pitchers. Durability is important. It helps teams win games. Ruth played in 142 contests in 1920 and got to the plate 616 times compared to 152 and 699 respectively in 1923. And Ruth was a much better outfielder after several more seasons of full-time outfield play, rating a 1.57 out of 1.70 for his defense in 1923, but only 1.29 in 1920. His defensive abilities, which were better than most give him credit for, were just rounding into shape in his first Yankee year when Ruth was still only 25 years old.

But if you want to consider Ruth in 1920 as the better player because you discount defense in the equation, that's fine with us. The PEVA rating system does not, however, penalize a prodigious offensive player because of his poor defense, but does reward a great offensive player who is also a good defensive player. Ruth benefitted from good defense in 1923 by gaining approximately 11% in his overall rating over 1920. So when you add in all those factors, the 158 Runs Scored and the 137 RBI's, too, all first place totals just like in 1923, he comes in at Number Two on our list of best seasons ever.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | Babe Ruth 1920 | | 55.754 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 158 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 137 | 1 | RPR | 600.3% | 49.14 |
| OBP | 0.532 | 1 | | 158.8% | 0.335 |
| SLG | 0.847 | 1 | | 227.7% | 0.372 |
| Field Value | 1.29 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

3. Barry Bonds, San Francisco Giants, 2001

Oh, boy. Now comes the Bonds. Not since Babe Ruth banged out those seasons in the 1920s had baseball seen such a fantastic hitting season. It was truly Ruthian in its exploits. Now we know, or think we know, that those exploits are somewhat tainted in today's PED allegation environment, but how tainted, we can't say for sure. So we will go forward with the acknowledgement that Bonds played in the steroid era, and that some players participated in PEDs that makes it difficult to know who, how many, and what affect it had on the statistics of the day. But we will judge, until we know with more certainty, the era within the era itself and will judge domination in that way. And boy, Barry Bonds was certainly dominant in 2001.

Managers did not want to pitch to him, he walked 177 times. There were 73 home runs hit, still the Major League record, even though most of us think of it with an asterick. His OPS was up in the stratosphere, 1.378, which was miles ahead of the man in second place that year, Sammy Sosa, at 1.174. So yes, there's no doubt, he dominated this steroid era year, although not quite as much as Ruth did in the two years above. Bonds did not lead baseball in Runs or RBI, partly due to only appearing in 153 games of the 162 game schedule. Although, to be fair, and to flesh out what we do know, he did accomplish this in PacBell Park, a notorious pitcher's park.

I know, for many, including us, it's hard to accept the fact that Bonds would appear high on any list with the allegations that are in the air. And this will be the problem with ascertaining where his, and others, accomplishments should sit. But here it is, a player from the steroid era, sitting high on another list, as we measured his accomplishments within the era he played in. Now we won't even get into the whole Hall of Fame worthiness debate now will we.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | B. Bonds 2001 | | 55.207 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Bonds | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave | |
| RUNS | 129 | 4 | | | |
| RBI | 137 | 6 | RPR | 444.1% | 59.9 |
| OBP | 0.515 | 1 | | 155.1% | 0.332 |
| SLG | 0.863 | 1 | | 202.1% | 0.427 |
| Field Value | 1.31 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

4. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1921

Come on. Really. How do you make the case for one Ruth year over the other? It's really a parsing out of fabulous stats against other fabulous stats. Sure, the three that stand out here versus 1923 is a slightly lower On Base Percentage, Batting Average, and a Fielding Value that still hadn't improved to the level it would a couple years hence. But we were in the 2nd year of Ruth domination in the Yankee world while still playing in the Polo Grounds they shared with the Giants who were none too pleased with the success and even suggested they move. So plans were made, spurred on by Ruth's popularity and the Yankees finally becoming the Yankees we know of today.

With 177 Runs Scored and 171 Runs Batted In, there was very little opponents could do to minimize the damage Ruth could cause during a game. And the fact that he was a darling of the New York and national media, both with his bat and exploits outside the diamond made for one great combination. And it was just what baseball ordered after the 1919 Black Sox scandal. The New York baseball public clamored for as much Ruth as possible, and the Yankee stadium that would be started after the 1921 season would now warrant nearly 60,000 seats, double the amount in the usual stadium at the time. Babe Ruth was 6 times as likely to produce a run as the average major league player in 1921, and at 26 years of age in 1921, there were going to be a decade or more of such statistics in the future. It would bode well for the Yankees, and even though it's hard to believe today, 1921 was the first year the Yankees won the pennant, eventually losing the World Series to the Giants, their co-tenant at those soon-to-be vacated Polo Grounds.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | Babe Ruth 1921 | | 54.876 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 177 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 171 | 1 | RPR | 616.8% | 56.42 |
| OBP | 0.512 | 1 | | 147.1% | 0.348 |
| SLG | 0.846 | 1 | | 209.9% | 0.403 |
| Field Value | 1.47 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

5. Barry Bonds, San Francisco Giants, 2002

Once again, Barry Bonds dominated Major League Baseball in 2002, albeit in a PED allegation year. His OBP was at 0.582 a record in the history of the game, only to be broken just two years later by, you guessed it, Barry Bonds. Bonds was way down in homers in 2002 with 46 compared to his record of the year before; managers just plain walked him. They walked him 198 times, another record, to be broken two years later by, you guessed it, Barry Bonds again, with 232. They were walking him with men on first base. They were planning to walk him even before the game began. One reason Bonds' numbers weren't even higher, the production numbers and not rate stats, were those walks, plus the lack of games played, only 143. He ranked only #8 in Runs Scored and #14 in Runs Batted In for the season. But those rate stats of OBP and SLG were enormous, scaling the average player at a rate 175.8 percent and 191.6% of the norm.

There's not a whole lot more to say. It's a year and an era too many of us remember well.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | B. Bonds 2002 | | 54.848 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Bonds | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 117 | 8 | | | |
| RBI | 110 | 14 | RPR | 379.9% | 59.75 |
| OBP | 0.582 | 1 | | 175.8% | 0.331 |
| SLG | 0.799 | 1 | | 191.6% | 0.417 |
| Field Value | 1.19 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

6. Ted Williams, Boston Red Sox, 1942

The Splendid Splinter jumps into the #6 position in the best seasons ever list with a spectacular campaign in 1942 that saw the fans at Fenway Park see a marvelous year. Only the second player season on this list that had a Run Production multiplier over the average player of 6, Williams was a run producing machine. He was on base often, a 0.499 clip, and slugged his way around the league to the tune of 0.648. Williams fielded his position well, too, with a 1.55 Field Value.

But this would be the last season for Ted Williams until 1946, as his commitment to serve the nation during World War II would begin after the 1942 season. With the two seasons he'd just had, (1941 comes in at #8), it's easy to imagine that some of those missed years would have wound up pretty high on the best ever list, too, particularly when his returning season in 1946 comes in at #26.

Williams was a marvel who deserves to be on this list above some above, and perhaps include more seasons, too. But everyone knows that, or at least should. Certainly the folks from Boston are aware that the Splendid Splinter is one of the best players in the history of the game, with two of the top ten seasons of all-time.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | T. Williams 1942 | | 52.075 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|----------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Williams | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 141 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 137 | 1 | RPR | 647.9% | 42.91 |

| | | | | |
|-------------|-------|---|--------|-------|
| OBP | 0.499 | 1 | 154.5% | 0.323 |
| SLG | 0.648 | 1 | 185.1% | 0.350 |
| Field Value | 1.55 | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

7. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1927

Yes, it made the top ten, and for most, still includes the most important number in the history of the game. 60. Babe Ruth hit sixty home runs in 1927 in a 154 game season. But when you look at some of his other numbers, no matter how spectacular they are, the magnificent season of 1927 ranks #7 on the All-Time Batting Seasons list, and only #4 in the best seasons ever by a man named Babe.

To justify this is again a quibble. His On Base Percentage had begun to drop, from its high of 0.545 in 1923 to the rate of 0.486. He wouldn't have an OBP above 0.500 for the rest of his career. Probably because of that lack of selectivity, Ruth hit those Home Runs and had Run Production over the roof that Ruth built, again topping a 6 multiple compared to both players in the American and National Leagues.

The Yankees won 110 games in 1927 and many regard this team as the best team in baseball history. They won the World Series in four straight games over the Pittsburgh Pirates. It was a wonderful season, led by the Big Bambino.

| Stat Dominance Chart | Babe Ruth 1927 | | 51.850 PEVA RATING | | |
|----------------------|----------------|------|--------------------|----------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 158 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 164 | 2 | RPR | 639.5% | 50.35 |
| OBP | 0.486 | 1 | | 140.9% | 0.345 |
| SLG | 0.772 | 1 | | 196.4% | 0.393 |
| Field Value | 1.43 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

8. Ted Williams, Boston Red Sox, 1941

The world was at war, but the USA still remained on the outside, not yet attacked at Pearl Harbor, and baseball reigned throughout the summer of 1941 as a diversion to a nation that knew it likely wouldn't be long until they, too, were involved. But in this season, there was always Ted to lead the way. Williams was only 23 years old in 1941 and had already become the most dominant player in the game. Taking nothing away from the other great players at the time, including the great Joe DiMaggio, but this was the season when the last man hit 0.400, actually 0.406. There's a lot of debate as to whether we'll ever see that again. Well, all we know for sure, is we haven't seen it yet.

Ted Williams could just plain hit. He hit for that average. He hit for power, knocking 37 homers out of the park in 1941 while knocking in 120. Nobody did it better than the splinter in 1941; only seven seasons were better than his 1941 campaign in the batting history of the game.

| Stat Dominance Chart | T. Williams 1941 | | 51.730 PEVA RATING | | |
|----------------------|------------------|------|--------------------|----------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Williams | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 135 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 120 | 4 | RPR | 535.0% | 47.66 |
| OBP | 0.553 | 1 | | 165.6% | 0.334 |
| SLG | 0.735 | 1 | | 196.0% | 0.375 |
| Field Value | 1.33 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

9. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1926

Ho, hum, just another year when Babe Ruth led baseball in Runs Scored, Runs Batted In, On Base and Slugging Percentage while leading his team to the World Series. They'd lose to the Cardinals there. At 31 years of age, Ruth still played the field well, and hit the ground running on the bases, and the plate swinging to the tune of 47 Home Runs and 146 Runs Batted In.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | Babe Ruth 1926 | | 51.603 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 139 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 146 | 1 | RPR | 552.1% | 51.62 |
| OBP | 0.516 | 1 | | 149.6% | 0.345 |
| SLG | 0.737 | 1 | | 189.5% | 0.389 |
| Field Value | 1.47 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

10. Babe Ruth, New York Yankees, 1924

There's a tendency for people to think that Babe Ruth was solely a power hitter, somewhat incapable of hitting the ball up the middle or to get a base hit, but once again his campaign in 1924 proved that to be false. Ruth hit 0.378 in 1924 while hitting those prodigious shots, all 46 of them. He also knocked out 39 doubles, 7 triples, and 108 singles, to the total of 200 hits. Add that to his 142 walks and you can see how 342 times on base can lead to 143 runs scored.

Coming in as the 6th entrant of Babe Ruth on the all-time best seasons list, it may bring up the rear, however, it once again added to the legacy of the man who built a Yankee Stadium, filled it to the rafters each night, and charmed, in a roguish manner, the public and media throughout his career.

| Stat Dominance Chart | | Babe Ruth 1924 | | 51.324 PEVA RATING | |
|----------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Stats for Year | Ruth | Rank | % Of Year Ave. | Yr. Ave. | |
| RUNS | 143 | 1 | | | |
| RBI | 121 | 3 | RPR | 522.3% | 50.55 |
| OBP | 0.513 | 1 | | 147.4% | 0.348 |
| SLG | 0.739 | 1 | | 187.6% | 0.394 |
| Field Value | 1.53 | | | | |

*Note: Maximum FV for OF 1.70. RPR = Runs plus RBI.

Who Jumped Onto the Best Ever Seasons List in 2010

Well, as most scribes have noted, this was the year of the pitcher. No hitters abounded, even one in the postseason, and ERA's were down so far, you'd have thought that PED meant Pitcher's Extra Dominance and not the Performance Enhancing Drugs of the decade or so in the past. But that didn't mean that some of today's best sluggers did not perform very well, a number of them did. And three did so well, in fact, that they jumped into the Best Ever Season lists for position players; yes, Albert Pujols again, and Miguel Cabrera, too, plus the man who may win the MVP over Pujols, but probably should not, Joey Votto.

Joining the List

| Name | Team | Rank |
|---------------|---------------------|------|
| Albert Pujols | St. Louis Cardinals | 100 |

| | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-----|
| Miguel Cabrera | Detroit Tigers | 166 |
| Joey Votto | Cincinnati Reds | 224 |

Best Position Player Years Ever (1871-2010) - Regular Season

| Rank | Name | First | Year | Team | Lg | HR | RBI | AVG | Age | PEVA-B |
|------|-----------|---------|------|------|----|----|-----|-------|-----|--------|
| 1 | Ruth | Babe | 1923 | NYA | AL | 41 | 131 | 0.393 | 28 | 58.931 |
| 2 | Ruth | Babe | 1920 | NYA | AL | 54 | 137 | 0.376 | 25 | 55.754 |
| 3 | Bonds | Barry | 2001 | SFN | NL | 73 | 137 | 0.328 | 37 | 55.207 |
| 4 | Ruth | Babe | 1921 | NYA | AL | 59 | 171 | 0.378 | 26 | 54.876 |
| 5 | Bonds | Barry | 2002 | SFN | NL | 46 | 110 | 0.370 | 38 | 54.848 |
| 6 | Williams | Ted | 1942 | BOS | AL | 36 | 137 | 0.356 | 24 | 52.075 |
| 7 | Ruth | Babe | 1927 | NYA | AL | 60 | 164 | 0.356 | 32 | 51.850 |
| 8 | Williams | Ted | 1941 | BOS | AL | 37 | 120 | 0.406 | 23 | 51.730 |
| 9 | Ruth | Babe | 1926 | NYA | AL | 47 | 146 | 0.372 | 31 | 51.603 |
| 10 | Ruth | Babe | 1924 | NYA | AL | 46 | 121 | 0.378 | 29 | 51.324 |
| 11 | Gehrig | Lou | 1927 | NYA | AL | 47 | 175 | 0.373 | 24 | 50.953 |
| 12 | Shaffer | Orator | 1878 | IN1 | NL | 0 | 30 | 0.338 | 27 | 50.240 |
| 13 | Gehrig | Lou | 1934 | NYA | AL | 49 | 165 | 0.363 | 31 | 50.136 |
| 14 | Cobb | Ty | 1917 | DET | AL | 6 | 102 | 0.383 | 31 | 48.911 |
| 15 | Wagner | Honus | 1908 | PIT | NL | 10 | 109 | 0.354 | 34 | 48.837 |
| 16 | Bonds | Barry | 2004 | SFN | NL | 45 | 101 | 0.362 | 40 | 48.632 |
| 17 | Rosen | Al | 1953 | CLE | AL | 43 | 145 | 0.336 | 29 | 48.401 |
| 18 | Mantle | Mickey | 1956 | NYA | AL | 52 | 130 | 0.353 | 25 | 47.236 |
| 19 | Barnes | Ross | 1876 | CHN | NL | 1 | 59 | 0.429 | 26 | 46.554 |
| 20 | Gehrig | Lou | 1936 | NYA | AL | 49 | 152 | 0.354 | 33 | 45.995 |
| 21 | Yount | Robin | 1982 | ML4 | AL | 29 | 114 | 0.331 | 27 | 45.717 |
| 22 | Ruth | Babe | 1919 | BOS | AL | 29 | 114 | 0.322 | 24 | 45.484 |
| 23 | Hornsby | Rogers | 1922 | SLN | NL | 42 | 152 | 0.401 | 26 | 45.149 |
| 24 | Robinson | Frank | 1962 | CIN | NL | 39 | 136 | 0.342 | 27 | 44.982 |
| 25 | Jones | Charley | 1879 | BSN | NL | 9 | 62 | 0.315 | 29 | 44.728 |
| 26 | Williams | Ted | 1946 | BOS | AL | 38 | 123 | 0.342 | 28 | 44.043 |
| 27 | Pujols | Albert | 2009 | SLN | NL | 47 | 135 | 0.327 | 29 | 43.955 |
| 28 | Hines | Paul | 1879 | PRO | NL | 2 | 52 | 0.357 | 24 | 43.731 |
| 29 | Cobb | Ty | 1915 | DET | AL | 3 | 99 | 0.369 | 29 | 43.671 |
| 30 | Bonds | Barry | 1993 | SFN | NL | 46 | 123 | 0.336 | 29 | 43.404 |
| 31 | Speaker | Tris | 1912 | BOS | AL | 10 | 90 | 0.383 | 24 | 43.198 |
| 32 | Mays | Willie | 1965 | SFN | NL | 52 | 112 | 0.317 | 34 | 43.109 |
| 33 | Robinson | Frank | 1966 | BAL | AL | 49 | 122 | 0.316 | 31 | 42.918 |
| 34 | Stone | George | 1906 | SLA | AL | 6 | 71 | 0.358 | 30 | 42.914 |
| 35 | Barnes | Ross | 1873 | BS1 | NA | 2 | 62 | 0.425 | 23 | 42.762 |
| 36 | Delahanty | Ed | 1899 | PHI | NL | 9 | 137 | 0.410 | 32 | 42.557 |
| 37 | Foxx | Jimmie | 1933 | PHA | AL | 48 | 163 | 0.356 | 26 | 42.539 |
| 38 | Cobb | Ty | 1911 | DET | AL | 8 | 127 | 0.420 | 25 | 42.464 |
| 39 | Mantle | Mickey | 1961 | NYA | AL | 54 | 128 | 0.317 | 30 | 42.398 |
| 40 | Mantle | Mickey | 1960 | NYA | AL | 40 | 94 | 0.275 | 29 | 42.394 |
| 41 | Speaker | Tris | 1916 | CLE | AL | 2 | 79 | 0.386 | 28 | 42.388 |
| 42 | Bench | Johnny | 1972 | CIN | NL | 40 | 125 | 0.270 | 25 | 42.271 |
| 43 | Brouthers | Dan | 1892 | BRO | NL | 5 | 124 | 0.335 | 34 | 42.196 |
| 44 | Ruth | Babe | 1931 | NYA | AL | 46 | 163 | 0.373 | 36 | 41.968 |
| 45 | Hornsby | Rogers | 1929 | CHN | NL | 39 | 149 | 0.380 | 33 | 41.923 |
| 46 | Aaron | Hank | 1959 | ML1 | NL | 39 | 123 | 0.355 | 25 | 41.920 |
| 47 | Williams | Ted | 1949 | BOS | AL | 43 | 159 | 0.343 | 31 | 41.778 |
| 48 | Mathews | Eddie | 1953 | ML1 | NL | 47 | 135 | 0.302 | 22 | 41.677 |
| 49 | Mathews | Eddie | 1960 | ML1 | NL | 39 | 124 | 0.277 | 29 | 41.382 |
| 50 | Musial | Stan | 1943 | SLN | NL | 13 | 81 | 0.357 | 23 | 41.278 |

Best Position Player Years Ever (1871-2010)

| Rank | Name | First | Year | Team | Lg | HR | RBI | AVG | Age | PEVA-B |
|------|-------------|--------|------|------|----|----|-----|-------|-----|--------|
| 51 | Thomas | Frank | 1994 | CHA | AL | 38 | 101 | 0.353 | 26 | 41.278 |
| 52 | Foxx | Jimmie | 1932 | PHA | AL | 58 | 169 | 0.364 | 25 | 41.252 |
| 53 | Piazza | Mike | 1997 | LAN | NL | 40 | 124 | 0.362 | 29 | 41.108 |
| 54 | Schmidt | Mike | 1981 | PHI | NL | 31 | 91 | 0.316 | 32 | 40.963 |
| 55 | Mays | Willie | 1962 | SFN | NL | 49 | 141 | 0.304 | 31 | 40.947 |
| 56 | McGwire | Mark | 1998 | SLN | NL | 70 | 147 | 0.299 | 35 | 40.809 |
| 57 | Rodriguez | Alex | 2007 | NYA | AL | 54 | 156 | 0.314 | 32 | 40.717 |
| 58 | Mantle | Mickey | 1957 | NYA | AL | 34 | 94 | 0.365 | 26 | 40.637 |
| 59 | Musial | Stan | 1953 | SLN | NL | 30 | 113 | 0.337 | 33 | 40.502 |
| 60 | Yastrzemski | Carl | 1967 | BOS | AL | 44 | 121 | 0.326 | 28 | 40.383 |
| 61 | Delahanty | Ed | 1896 | PHI | NL | 13 | 126 | 0.397 | 29 | 40.317 |
| 62 | Aaron | Hank | 1963 | ML1 | NL | 44 | 130 | 0.319 | 29 | 40.268 |
| 63 | Gehrig | Lou | 1931 | NYA | AL | 46 | 184 | 0.341 | 28 | 40.131 |
| 64 | Holmes | Tommy | 1945 | BSN | NL | 28 | 117 | 0.352 | 28 | 40.065 |
| 65 | Ruth | Babe | 1928 | NYA | AL | 54 | 142 | 0.323 | 33 | 40.061 |
| 66 | Gehrig | Lou | 1930 | NYA | AL | 41 | 174 | 0.379 | 27 | 39.839 |
| 67 | Cobb | Ty | 1909 | DET | AL | 9 | 107 | 0.377 | 23 | 39.724 |
| 68 | Brouthers | Dan | 1883 | BFN | NL | 3 | 97 | 0.374 | 25 | 39.597 |
| 69 | Mays | Willie | 1955 | NY1 | NL | 51 | 127 | 0.319 | 24 | 39.404 |
| 70 | Brett | George | 1985 | KCA | AL | 30 | 112 | 0.335 | 32 | 39.324 |
| 71 | Ruth | Babe | 1930 | NYA | AL | 49 | 153 | 0.359 | 35 | 39.019 |
| 72 | Sosa | Sammy | 2001 | CHN | NL | 64 | 160 | 0.328 | 33 | 38.918 |
| 73 | Mays | Willie | 1960 | SFN | NL | 29 | 103 | 0.319 | 29 | 38.898 |
| 74 | Musial | Stan | 1944 | SLN | NL | 12 | 94 | 0.347 | 24 | 38.717 |
| 75 | Snider | Duke | 1953 | BRO | NL | 42 | 126 | 0.336 | 27 | 38.655 |
| 76 | Lajoie | Nap | 1901 | PHA | AL | 14 | 125 | 0.426 | 27 | 38.604 |
| 77 | Mathews | Eddie | 1959 | ML1 | NL | 46 | 114 | 0.306 | 28 | 38.592 |
| 78 | Hines | Paul | 1878 | PRO | NL | 4 | 50 | 0.358 | 23 | 38.405 |
| 79 | Campanella | Roy | 1953 | BRO | NL | 41 | 142 | 0.312 | 32 | 38.348 |
| 80 | Anson | Cap | 1881 | CHN | NL | 1 | 82 | 0.399 | 29 | 38.143 |
| 81 | Bagwell | Jeff | 1994 | HOU | NL | 39 | 116 | 0.368 | 26 | 38.044 |
| 82 | Jackson | Joe | 1912 | CLE | AL | 3 | 90 | 0.395 | 23 | 37.904 |
| 83 | Musial | Stan | 1952 | SLN | NL | 21 | 91 | 0.336 | 32 | 37.889 |
| 84 | DiMaggio | Joe | 1941 | NYA | AL | 30 | 125 | 0.357 | 27 | 37.809 |
| 85 | Gehrig | Lou | 1937 | NYA | AL | 37 | 159 | 0.351 | 34 | 37.760 |
| 86 | Cobb | Ty | 1918 | DET | AL | 3 | 64 | 0.382 | 32 | 37.701 |
| 87 | Flick | Elmer | 1900 | PHI | NL | 11 | 110 | 0.367 | 24 | 37.675 |
| 88 | Hornsby | Rogers | 1925 | SLN | NL | 39 | 143 | 0.403 | 29 | 37.655 |
| 89 | Foxx | Jimmie | 1938 | BOS | AL | 50 | 175 | 0.349 | 31 | 37.579 |
| 90 | Dunlap | Fred | 1884 | SLU | UA | 13 | | 0.412 | 25 | 37.571 |
| 91 | Murray | Eddie | 1984 | BAL | AL | 29 | 110 | 0.306 | 28 | 37.406 |
| 92 | Musial | Stan | 1951 | SLN | NL | 32 | 108 | 0.355 | 31 | 37.339 |
| 93 | Speaker | Tris | 1914 | BOS | AL | 4 | 90 | 0.338 | 26 | 37.327 |
| 94 | Aaron | Hank | 1962 | ML1 | NL | 45 | 128 | 0.323 | 28 | 37.264 |
| 95 | Kiner | Ralph | 1951 | PIT | NL | 42 | 109 | 0.309 | 29 | 37.003 |
| 96 | Kelley | Joe | 1896 | BLN | NL | 8 | 100 | 0.364 | 25 | 36.936 |
| 97 | McCovey | Willie | 1969 | SFN | NL | 45 | 126 | 0.320 | 31 | 36.924 |
| 98 | Delahanty | Ed | 1893 | PHI | NL | 19 | 146 | 0.368 | 26 | 36.872 |
| 99 | Gehrig | Lou | 1933 | NYA | AL | 32 | 139 | 0.334 | 30 | 36.863 |
| 100 | Pujols | Albert | 2010 | SLN | NL | 42 | 118 | 0.312 | 30 | 36.842 |

Best Position Player Years Ever (1871-2010)

| Rank | Name | First | Year | Team | Lg | HR | RBI | AVG | Age | PEVA-B |
|------|--------|--------|------|------|----|----|-----|-------|-----|--------|
| 101 | Mantle | Mickey | 1955 | NYA | AL | 37 | 99 | 0.306 | 24 | 36.784 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|--------|------|-----|----|----|-----|-------|----|---------------|
| 102 | Mays | Willie | 1958 | SFN | NL | 29 | 96 | 0.347 | 27 | 36.606 |
| 103 | Baker | Frank | 1912 | PHA | AL | 10 | 130 | 0.347 | 26 | 36.589 |
| 104 | Ott | Mel | 1929 | NY1 | NL | 42 | 151 | 0.328 | 20 | 36.437 |
| 105 | Mantle | Mickey | 1958 | NYA | AL | 42 | 97 | 0.304 | 27 | 36.436 |
| 106 | Williams | Ted | 1947 | BOS | AL | 32 | 114 | 0.343 | 29 | 36.436 |
| 107 | Pujols | Albert | 2006 | SLN | NL | 49 | 137 | 0.331 | 26 | 36.422 |
| 108 | Mays | Willie | 1964 | SFN | NL | 47 | 111 | 0.296 | 33 | 36.258 |
| 109 | Magee | Sherry | 1910 | PHI | NL | 6 | 123 | 0.331 | 26 | 36.256 |
| 110 | Cash | Norm | 1961 | DET | AL | 41 | 132 | 0.361 | 27 | 36.206 |
| 111 | Cobb | Ty | 1912 | DET | AL | 7 | 83 | 0.409 | 26 | 36.188 |
| 112 | White | Deacon | 1877 | BSN | NL | 2 | 49 | 0.387 | 30 | 36.179 |
| 113 | Canseco | Jose | 1988 | OAK | AL | 42 | 124 | 0.307 | 24 | 36.135 |
| 114 | Bonds | Barry | 1990 | PIT | NL | 33 | 114 | 0.301 | 26 | 36.095 |
| 115 | Sheckard | Jimmy | 1903 | BRO | NL | 9 | 75 | 0.332 | 25 | 36.040 |
| 116 | Thomas | Frank | 1991 | CHA | AL | 32 | 109 | 0.318 | 23 | 36.023 |
| 117 | Bagwell | Jeff | 1999 | HOU | NL | 42 | 126 | 0.304 | 31 | 35.960 |
| 118 | Ripken Jr. | Cal | 1984 | BAL | AL | 27 | 86 | 0.304 | 24 | 35.874 |
| 119 | Seymour | Cy | 1905 | CIN | NL | 8 | 121 | 0.377 | 33 | 35.870 |
| 120 | Ripken Jr. | Cal | 1991 | BAL | AL | 34 | 114 | 0.323 | 31 | 35.853 |
| 121 | Evans | Dwight | 1984 | BOS | AL | 32 | 104 | 0.295 | 33 | 35.847 |
| 122 | Wagner | Honus | 1907 | PIT | NL | 6 | 82 | 0.350 | 33 | 35.816 |
| 123 | Musial | Stan | 1948 | SLN | NL | 39 | 131 | 0.376 | 28 | 35.811 |
| 124 | DiMaggio | Joe | 1937 | NYA | AL | 46 | 167 | 0.346 | 23 | 35.806 |
| 125 | Wilson | Hack | 1930 | CHN | NL | 56 | 191 | 0.356 | 30 | 35.799 |
| 126 | Browning | Pete | 1885 | LS2 | AA | 9 | 73 | 0.362 | 24 | 35.668 |
| 127 | McVey | Cal | 1875 | BS1 | NA | 3 | 87 | 0.355 | 26 | 35.627 |
| 128 | Santo | Ron | 1964 | CHN | NL | 30 | 114 | 0.313 | 24 | 35.556 |
| 129 | Howard | Ryan | 2006 | PHI | NL | 58 | 149 | 0.313 | 27 | 35.507 |
| 130 | Wagner | Honus | 1905 | PIT | NL | 6 | 101 | 0.363 | 31 | 35.473 |
| 131 | Duffy | Hugh | 1894 | BSN | NL | 18 | 145 | 0.440 | 28 | 35.452 |
| 132 | Bonds | Barry | 1992 | PIT | NL | 34 | 103 | 0.311 | 28 | 35.418 |
| 133 | Kauff | Benny | 1914 | IND | FL | 8 | 95 | 0.370 | 24 | 35.356 |
| 134 | Delahanty | Ed | 1897 | PHI | NL | 5 | 96 | 0.377 | 30 | 35.320 |
| 135 | Mattingly | Don | 1986 | NYA | AL | 31 | 113 | 0.352 | 25 | 35.246 |
| 136 | Speaker | Tris | 1923 | CLE | AL | 17 | 130 | 0.380 | 35 | 35.232 |
| 137 | Nicholson | Bill | 1943 | CHN | NL | 29 | 128 | 0.309 | 29 | 35.190 |
| 138 | Greenberg | Hank | 1937 | DET | AL | 40 | 183 | 0.337 | 26 | 35.096 |
| 139 | White | Deacon | 1875 | BS1 | NA | 1 | 60 | 0.367 | 28 | 35.065 |
| 140 | Speaker | Tris | 1920 | CLE | AL | 8 | 107 | 0.388 | 32 | 35.002 |
| 141 | Hamilton | Billy | 1894 | PHI | NL | 4 | 87 | 0.404 | 28 | 34.987 |
| 142 | Doby | Larry | 1952 | CLE | AL | 32 | 104 | 0.276 | 29 | 34.855 |
| 143 | Brouthers | Dan | 1891 | BS2 | AA | 5 | 109 | 0.350 | 33 | 34.762 |
| 144 | Nicholson | Bill | 1944 | CHN | NL | 33 | 122 | 0.287 | 30 | 34.757 |
| 145 | Snider | Duke | 1955 | BRO | NL | 42 | 136 | 0.309 | 29 | 34.757 |
| 146 | Allen | Dick | 1972 | CHA | AL | 37 | 113 | 0.308 | 30 | 34.744 |
| 147 | Johnson | Bob | 1944 | BOS | AL | 17 | 106 | 0.324 | 39 | 34.713 |
| 148 | Delahanty | Ed | 1902 | WS1 | AL | 10 | 93 | 0.376 | 35 | 34.689 |
| 149 | Giambi | Jason | 2000 | OAK | AL | 43 | 137 | 0.333 | 29 | 34.676 |
| 150 | Martinez | Edgar | 1995 | SEA | AL | 29 | 113 | 0.356 | 32 | 34.622 |

Best Position Player Years Ever (1871-2010)

| Rank | Name | First | Year | Team | Lg | HR | RBI | AVG | Age | PEVA-B |
|------|-----------|-------|------|------|----|----|-----|-------|-----|---------------|
| 151 | Boggs | Wade | 1987 | BOS | AL | 24 | 89 | 0.363 | 29 | 34.592 |
| 152 | Rodriguez | Alex | 2000 | SEA | AL | 41 | 132 | 0.316 | 25 | 34.588 |
| 153 | Banks | Ernie | 1959 | CHN | NL | 45 | 143 | 0.304 | 28 | 34.546 |
| 154 | Torre | Joe | 1971 | SLN | NL | 24 | 137 | 0.363 | 31 | 34.530 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--------|------|-----|----|----|-----|-------|----|---------------|
| 155 | Cobb | Ty | 1916 | DET | AL | 5 | 68 | 0.371 | 30 | 34.488 |
| 156 | Keeler | Willie | 1897 | BLN | NL | 0 | 74 | 0.424 | 25 | 34.488 |
| 157 | Mays | Willie | 1963 | SFN | NL | 38 | 103 | 0.314 | 32 | 34.477 |
| 158 | Brouthers | Dan | 1882 | BFN | NL | 6 | 63 | 0.368 | 24 | 34.359 |
| 159 | Hornsby | Rogers | 1924 | SLN | NL | 25 | 94 | 0.424 | 28 | 34.349 |
| 160 | Stovey | Harry | 1889 | PH4 | AA | 19 | 119 | 0.308 | 33 | 34.320 |
| 161 | Lajoie | Nap | 1906 | CLE | AL | 0 | 91 | 0.355 | 32 | 34.293 |
| 162 | Gehrig | Lou | 1928 | NYA | AL | 27 | 142 | 0.374 | 25 | 34.257 |
| 163 | Foster | George | 1977 | CIN | NL | 52 | 149 | 0.320 | 29 | 34.233 |
| 164 | Rodriguez | Alex | 2005 | NYA | AL | 48 | 130 | 0.321 | 30 | 34.230 |
| 165 | Jackson | Joe | 1911 | CLE | AL | 7 | 83 | 0.408 | 22 | 34.179 |
| 166 | Cabrera | Miguel | 2010 | DET | AL | 38 | 126 | 0.328 | 27 | 34.146 |
| 167 | Delgado | Carlos | 2000 | TOR | AL | 41 | 137 | 0.344 | 28 | 34.143 |
| 168 | Jennings | Hughie | 1896 | BLN | NL | 0 | 121 | 0.401 | 27 | 34.143 |
| 169 | Gehrig | Lou | 1932 | NYA | AL | 34 | 151 | 0.349 | 29 | 34.089 |
| 170 | Fielder | Prince | 2009 | MIL | NL | 46 | 141 | 0.299 | 25 | 34.062 |
| 171 | Lajoie | Nap | 1904 | CLE | AL | 6 | 102 | 0.376 | 30 | 34.027 |
| 172 | Schmidt | Mike | 1974 | PHI | NL | 36 | 116 | 0.282 | 25 | 34.027 |
| 173 | Mays | Willie | 1954 | NY1 | NL | 41 | 110 | 0.345 | 23 | 33.994 |
| 174 | Fox | Jimmie | 1934 | PHA | AL | 44 | 130 | 0.334 | 27 | 33.948 |
| 175 | Bonds | Barry | 1996 | SFN | NL | 42 | 129 | 0.308 | 32 | 33.905 |
| 176 | Jackson | Reggie | 1969 | OAK | AL | 47 | 118 | 0.275 | 23 | 33.898 |
| 177 | Thomas | Frank | 1992 | CHA | AL | 24 | 115 | 0.323 | 24 | 33.764 |
| 178 | Killebrew | Harmon | 1969 | MIN | AL | 49 | 140 | 0.276 | 33 | 33.634 |
| 179 | Banks | Ernie | 1958 | CHN | NL | 47 | 129 | 0.313 | 27 | 33.578 |
| 180 | Stargell | Willie | 1973 | PIT | NL | 44 | 119 | 0.299 | 33 | 33.533 |
| 181 | Aaron | Hank | 1960 | ML1 | NL | 40 | 126 | 0.292 | 26 | 33.522 |
| 182 | Carter | Gary | 1982 | MON | NL | 29 | 97 | 0.293 | 28 | 33.521 |
| 183 | Pujols | Albert | 2003 | SLN | NL | 43 | 124 | 0.359 | 23 | 33.510 |
| 184 | Jeter | Derek | 1999 | NYA | AL | 24 | 102 | 0.349 | 25 | 33.492 |
| 185 | Wagner | Honus | 1900 | PIT | NL | 4 | 100 | 0.381 | 26 | 33.466 |
| 186 | Lynn | Fred | 1979 | BOS | AL | 39 | 122 | 0.333 | 27 | 33.455 |
| 187 | Pujols | Albert | 2008 | SLN | NL | 37 | 116 | 0.357 | 28 | 33.388 |
| 188 | Connor | Roger | 1885 | NY1 | NL | 1 | 65 | 0.371 | 28 | 33.375 |
| 189 | Murcer | Bobby | 1971 | NYA | AL | 25 | 94 | 0.331 | 25 | 33.373 |
| 190 | Mitchell | Kevin | 1989 | SFN | NL | 47 | 125 | 0.291 | 27 | 33.233 |
| 191 | Musial | Stan | 1954 | SLN | NL | 35 | 126 | 0.330 | 34 | 33.159 |
| 192 | Rosen | Al | 1952 | CLE | AL | 28 | 105 | 0.302 | 28 | 33.117 |
| 193 | Klein | Chuck | 1930 | PHI | NL | 40 | 170 | 0.386 | 26 | 33.052 |
| 194 | Santo | Ron | 1966 | CHN | NL | 30 | 94 | 0.312 | 26 | 33.049 |
| 195 | Bench | Johnny | 1974 | CIN | NL | 33 | 129 | 0.280 | 27 | 33.034 |
| 196 | Barnes | Ross | 1871 | BS1 | NA | 0 | 34 | 0.401 | 21 | 32.973 |
| 197 | Morgan | Joe | 1976 | CIN | NL | 27 | 111 | 0.320 | 33 | 32.946 |
| 198 | Collins | Eddie | 1914 | PHA | AL | 2 | 85 | 0.344 | 27 | 32.932 |
| 199 | McCovey | Willie | 1970 | SFN | NL | 39 | 126 | 0.289 | 32 | 32.785 |
| 200 | Burkett | Jesse | 1901 | SLN | NL | 10 | 75 | 0.376 | 33 | 32.782 |

Best Position Player Years Ever (1871-2010)

| Rank | Name | First | Year | Team | Lg | HR | RBI | AVG | Age | PEVA-B |
|------|-----------|---------|------|------|----|----|-----|-------|-----|---------------|
| 201 | Snider | Duke | 1954 | BRO | NL | 40 | 130 | 0.341 | 28 | 32.766 |
| 202 | Kelly | King | 1884 | CHN | NL | 13 | 95 | 0.354 | 27 | 32.756 |
| 203 | Berra | Yogi | 1950 | NYA | AL | 28 | 124 | 0.322 | 25 | 32.744 |
| 204 | Winfield | Dave | 1979 | SDN | NL | 34 | 118 | 0.308 | 28 | 32.688 |
| 205 | Williams | Ted | 1957 | BOS | AL | 38 | 87 | 0.388 | 39 | 32.674 |
| 206 | Jones | Chipper | 1999 | ATL | NL | 45 | 110 | 0.319 | 27 | 32.668 |
| 207 | Sheffield | Gary | 1996 | FLO | NL | 42 | 120 | 0.314 | 28 | 32.618 |

Stat Geek Baseball, The Best Ever Book 2011

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--------|------|-----|----|----|-----|-------|----|---------------|
| 208 | Mauer | Joe | 2009 | MIN | AL | 28 | 96 | 0.365 | 26 | 32.590 |
| 209 | Belle | Albert | 1995 | CLE | AL | 50 | 126 | 0.317 | 29 | 32.570 |
| 210 | Kauff | Benny | 1915 | BRF | FL | 12 | 83 | 0.342 | 25 | 32.472 |
| 211 | Barnes | Ross | 1872 | BS1 | NA | 1 | 44 | 0.432 | 22 | 32.428 |
| 212 | Cobb | Ty | 1910 | DET | AL | 8 | 91 | 0.383 | 24 | 32.427 |
| 213 | Greenberg | Hank | 1935 | DET | AL | 36 | 170 | 0.328 | 24 | 32.405 |
| 214 | Pujols | Albert | 2005 | SLN | NL | 41 | 117 | 0.330 | 25 | 32.329 |
| 215 | Barnes | Ross | 1875 | BS1 | NA | 1 | 58 | 0.364 | 25 | 32.311 |
| 216 | Greenberg | Hank | 1940 | DET | AL | 41 | 150 | 0.340 | 29 | 32.198 |
| 217 | Dalrymple | Abner | 1880 | CHN | NL | 0 | 36 | 0.330 | 23 | 32.143 |
| 218 | Mathews | Eddie | 1955 | ML1 | NL | 41 | 101 | 0.289 | 24 | 32.143 |
| 219 | Aaron | Hank | 1967 | ATL | NL | 39 | 109 | 0.307 | 33 | 32.133 |
| 220 | Cabrera | Miguel | 2006 | FLO | NL | 26 | 114 | 0.339 | 23 | 32.126 |
| 221 | Wagner | Honus | 1903 | PIT | NL | 5 | 101 | 0.355 | 29 | 32.062 |
| 222 | Lajoie | Nap | 1910 | CLE | AL | 4 | 76 | 0.384 | 36 | 32.056 |
| 223 | Bonds | Barry | 2000 | SFN | NL | 49 | 106 | 0.306 | 36 | 32.041 |
| 224 | Votto | Joey | 2010 | CIN | NL | 37 | 113 | 0.324 | 26 | 32.034 |
| 225 | Winfield | Dave | 1984 | NYA | AL | 19 | 100 | 0.340 | 33 | 32.023 |

Note: Age = Player age at the end of the year. All position players above 32 PEVA-B (Peva Batting) Player Ratings listed.

Note: 2010 PEVA = Reflects preliminary final PEVA numbers. Marginal adjustment may be made with final stats and park factors.